





## **Breakfast Plenary**

## **Transportation Decarbonization**

Kevin Tempest, CAPI R&D Scientist June 23, 2022





## Research Interest: *Carbon Reducing Investments* GHG Reduction Explorer Modeling Tool (2016)

### Building Back Better (2020 Report) – Resilient Recovery Portfolio





June 23, 2022

## **Research Interest:** Lessons Learned from California



Clean &

CALIFORN STUDY MISSION 2.0



## **WASHINGTON'S DECISIVE DECADE** AN EMERGING ROADMAP FOR TRANSPORTATION DECARBONIZATION & CLEANER AIR





June 23, 2022

## Decisive Decade: Transportation, GHGs, Air Quality

Washington's largest emitting sector, including 45% of GHGs, 22% of  $PM_{2.5}$ 

\$3+ billion through 2030 via *Climate Commitment Act* and *Move Ahead WA* Transportation Package (\$5.2 billion over 16 years)

2021's paradigm setting Climate-Environmental Justice Framework









## 7 CASE STUDIES 6 CORE METRICS AN APPROACH

**RESEARCH AIM:** To enhance our understanding of the potential of interventions to reduce pervasive sources of air pollution on our roads, in our ports, and across our waterways, that impact both local and global communities and our economic efficiency.





## **7 CASE STUDIES**



#### FERRY SYSTEM ELECTRIFICATION



### SHORE POWER



#### DRAYAGE TRUCKS



**MOTOR COACHES** (Heavy-Duty Vehicles)



**PASSENGER VEHICLES** 



CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE



CARGO-HANDLING EQUIPMENT





## **Core Evaluation Metrics**

Average Public Health Benefits Multiplier (\$/tCO2e emitted)

Cumulative Avoided Emissions (million metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

NPV Public Health and Climate Benefits (\$, M)

NPV costs (\$, M)

NPV Abatement Cost (\$/tCO2e)

NPV CFS Credit potential (\$, M)

**COMPARING ALL** INVESTMENT **OPPORTUNITIES ACROSS** A CORE SET OF METRICS. INCLUDING THOSE FEATURED THROUGHOUT THIS REPORT. IS A **CRITICAL STEP TO** MATCHING AVAILABLE FUNDING WITH PRIORITY OUTCOMES.

CALIFORNI

STUDY

MISSION 2.0







#### \$ savings, additional benefits



**NPV Cost** 





\$ savings, additional benefits

#### widely variable public health benefits: engine type/vintage matters!







#### \$ savings, additional benefits

#### **Net Benefits > Net Costs**



2025 2027 2029 2031 2033 2035 2037 2039 2041 2043 2045 2047 2049 2051





\$ savings, additional benefits

Net Benefits > Net Costs

## Net Costs > Net Benefits, other role to play (such as market readiness)







- \$ savings, additional benefits
- Net Benefits > Net Costs
- Net Costs > Net Benefits, other role to play (e.g. market readiness)

## Investment needed, direct benefits not readily quantifiable









# FERRY SYSTEM ELECTRIFICATION

Timeframe 75 years

Public Health Benefits \$280 / tCO<sub>2</sub>e emitted

Cumulative Avoided Emissions 4.4 million tCO<sub>2</sub>e

Cumulative Public Health and Climate Benefits, NPV \$510 million

Total Costs, NPV -\$140 million

**Clean &** 

**Prosperous** 

**NSTITUTE** 

Abatement Cost, NPV -\$90 / tCO<sub>2</sub>e





## CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE Preliminary Needs Assessment

STATE ENERGY STRATEGY FORECAST **1 MILLION EVS BY 2030 2.3 MILLION EVS BY 2035** 

Needs Assessment in other states: California: 7 vehicles per Level 2, 200 vehicles per DC fast-charger Oregon: 21 vehicles per L2, 71 vehicles per DC fast-charger







## CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE Preliminary Needs Assessment

Estimated LDV public charging investment needs \$1.1 BILLION to \$4.2 BILLION BY 2035







#### Needs exist, scalable to available investment.

An approach, *not a portfolio*. Investment priorities matter and each intervention has unique characteristics.

Wide variability in air-quality co-benefits based on technology and age.



